UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	v.
CHRISTOPHER E. BROWN,	:
an individual,	:
Plaintiff,	: :
vs.	:
	: Case No. 2:18-cv-05018-DRH-GRB
HHE METRO HOMES, LLC,	:
a California Limited Liability Company,	:
and	:
JC RESTAURANTS, LLC,	:
a New York Limited Liability Company,	:
	:
Defendants.	:
	X

#### FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, CHRISTOPHER E. BROWN (hereinafter "MR. BROWN"), through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this First Amended Complaint and sues Defendant HHE METRO HOMES, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, and JC RESTAURANTS, LLC, a New York Limited Liability Company, for damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorney's fees and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq., (the "ADA"), the New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. § 296(2)(a) (the "NYSHRL"), and the New York Civil Rights Law, N.Y. Civ. Rights § 40 et seq., (the "NYCRL") and hereby alleges:

#### **JURISDICTION AND PARTIES**

1. This is an action for damages, declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to the ADA, the NYSHRL and the NYCRL. This Court is vested with original jurisdiction pursuant to

28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 and has pendant and supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims that are alleged pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1367, et seq.

- 2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).
- 3. MR. BROWN is a resident of the State of New York.
- 4. MR. BROWN suffers from Arthrogryposis, which causes him to be confined to a wheelchair. The condition also causes atrophy in his arms, hands, shoulders and elbows, which makes it extremely difficult to reach for, grip and manipulate objects.
- 5. Due to his disability, Plaintiff is substantially impaired in several major life activities and requires a wheelchair for mobility.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, HHE METRO HOMES, LLC, (hereinafter referred to as "DEFENDANT") is a California Limited Liability Company. Upon information and belief, Defendant is the owner of the real property and improvements which are the subject of this action, to wit: Taco Bell, (the "Property") generally located at 98 Forest Ave, Glen Cove, New York 11542. Defendant is subject to compliance with the ADA.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, JC RESTAURANTS, LLC, (hereinafter referred to as "DEFENDANT") is a New York Limited Liability Company. Upon information and belief, Defendant is the operator of the Taco Bell restaurant which is housed at the Property located at 98 Forest Ave, Glen Cove, New York 11542. Defendant is subject to compliance with the ADA.
  - 8. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Eastern District of New York.

# COUNT I - VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

9. The allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference

as if fully set forth herein.

- 10. The Property, a fast food restaurant, is a place of public accommodation and is subject to the ADA.
- 11. MR. BROWN has attempted to visit the Property owned/operated by Defendant several times over the past two years. Plaintiff last attempted to visit the Property in early May, 2018.
- 12. During his visits, MR. BROWN encountered serious difficulty accessing the goods and utilizing the services therein due to the architectural barriers discussed herein.
- 13. Due to these barriers, MR. BROWN was denied and continues to be denied the full and equal enjoyment of the goods and services offered at the Property.
- 14. MR. BROWN intends to and will visit the Property to attempt to utilize the goods and services in the near future, but fears that he will face the same barriers to access as discussed herein. However, but for the barriers to access at the Property, Plaintiff would visit more often.
- 15. Defendants are in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302 et seq. and is discriminating against the Plaintiff due to, but not limited to, its failure to provide and/or correct, the below architectural barriers to access, which were personally encountered by Plaintiff and which limited his access to the Property:
  - A. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible parking spaces designated for disabled use throughout the Property due to slopes in excess of 1:48, pavement in disrepair, failure to provide adjacent access aisles and lack of accessible signage.

- B. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible curb ramps along the property parking lot due to excessive slopes and disrepair.
- C. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible sidewalk routes leading from the public sidewalk at Forrest Avenue to the property entrance due to excessive running slopes, broken pavement and a lack of proper handrails.
- 16. To date, the barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.
- 17. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property is readily achievable, reasonably feasible and easily accomplishable without placing an undue burden on Defendant.
- 18. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property would allow Plaintiff to fully utilize the goods and services located therein.
- 19. Independent of his intent to return as a patron to the Property, Plaintiff additionally intends to return to the Property as an ADA tester to determine whether the barriers to access stated herein have been remedied.
- 20. The Plaintiff has been obligated to retain the undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses paid by Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.

## COUNT II - VIOLATION OF NEW YORK STATE HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

21. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this First Amended Complaint as if they were expressly set forth herein.

- 22. Defendants operate a business establishment dealing with goods and/or services within the jurisdiction of the State of New York and, as such, is obligated to comply with the provisions of the NYSHRL, N.Y. Exec. Law section 290 et seq.
  - 23. The conduct alleged herein violates the ADA.
  - 24. The conduct alleged herein violates the NYSHRL.
- 25. The NYSHRL states that "[i]t shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any ... owner ... of any place of public accommodation, ... because of the ... disability ... of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof[.]" N.Y. Exec. Law section 296(2)(a).
- 26. The Defendants have violated the NYSHRL by, *inter alia*, denying Plaintiff the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges offered by Defendants, and by refusing to make reasonable modifications to accommodate disabled persons.
- 27. In doing the acts and/or omission alleged herein, Defendants has wrongfully and unlawfully denied access to its facilities to individuals with disabilities.
- 28. The Defendants have further violated the NYSHRL by being in violation of the rights provided under the ADA.
- 29. Plaintiff visited Defendants' Property numerous times and encountered architectural barriers as described herein.
- 30. By maintaining architectural barriers and policies that discriminate against people with disabilities through the actions described above, Defendants has, directly or indirectly, refused, withheld, and/or denied to Plaintiff, because of his disability, the accommodations,

advantages, facilities or privileges thereof provided by Defendants. Failure on the part of Defendants, as a reasonable and prudent public accommodation, in acting or failing to act to identify and remove barriers can be construed as a "negligent per se" act of Defendants.

- 31. Plaintiff has been damaged and will continue to be damaged by this discrimination as more fully set forth above.
- 32. Plaintiff prays for judgment pursuant to N.Y. Exec. Law § 297, including compensatory damages contemplated by § 297(9).

### COUNT III - VIOLATION OF NEW YORK CIVIL RIGHTS LAW

- 33. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this First Amended Complaint as if they were expressly set forth herein.
- 34. As owner and/or operator of a place of public accommodation within the jurisdiction of the State of New York, Defendant is obligated to comply with the provisions of the NYCRL, N.Y. Civ. Rights § 40 et seq.
  - 35. The conduct alleged herein violates the NYCRL.
- 36. Plaintiff has complied with the notice requirements of § 40-d as notice of this action was served upon the attorney general prior to initiating suit.
- 37. Section 40-c of the NYCRL states that "[n]o person shall, because of . . . disability . . . be subjected to any discrimination in his or her civil rights . . . by any firm, corporation or institution[.]"
- 38. The Defendants have violated the NYCRL section 40-c, by *inter alia*, subjecting Plaintiff as a person with a disability, to discrimination of his civil rights.

- 39. The Defendants have further violated the NYCRL by being in violation of the rights provided under the ADA.
  - 40. This has resulted in a cognizable injury to Plaintiff.
- 41. Plaintiff has been damaged and will continue to be damaged by this discrimination as more fully set forth above.
- 42. Plaintiff prays for judgment pursuant to NYCRL § 40-d, including minimum statutory damages, and all other relief allowed by law.

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff demands judgment against DEFENDANTS, and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- A. That the Court declares that the Property owned, leased and/or operated by DEFENDANTS is in violation of the ADA;
- B. That the Court enter an Order directing DEFENDANTS to alter their Property to make it accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
- C. That the Court enter an Order directing DEFENDANTS to evaluate and neutralize their policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to allow DEFENDANTS to undertake and complete corrective procedures.
- D. That the Court award reasonable attorney's fees, costs (including expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to the Plaintiff;
- E. That the Court award damages to Plaintiff pursuant to his state law claims; and

F. That the Court awards such other and further relief as it deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: March 8, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Louis I. Mussman

Louis I. Mussman, Esq. KU & MUSSMAN, P.A. 18501 Pines Blvd., Suite 2069-A Pembroke Pines, FL 33029

Tel: (305) 891-1322 Fax: (305) 891-4512 louis@kumussman.com

and

Olivia D. Rosario (OR5730) Law Office of Olivia D. Rosario Of Counsel 31-91 Newtown Ave, Suite 901 Long Island City, New York 11102 Tel: (212) 349-1836

Fax: (212) 349-1830 Fax: (212) 349-9149 rosarioesq@gmail.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 8th day of March, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court by using CM/ECF system which will send notice of electronic filing to the following:

Edward V. Schwendemann, Esq. Goldberg Segalla LLP 200 Garden City Plaza, Suite 520 Garden City, NY 11530

And

G. John Veith Donna Law Firm, P.C. 7601 France Avenue South, Suite 350 Minneapolis, MN 55435

By: /s/ Louis I. Mussman
Louis I. Mussman, Esq.